1 We've got a telephone set up here. 2 take testimony on the telephone. We're not 3 going to drag people in here unnecessarily. 4 But when it comes to something like this, if 5 just a simple phone call to the clerk of the Benton County Superior Court, giving him our 6 7 case number and saying, you know, "What do you have on file about that? I'm representing this person who supposedly was before judge so-and-so," or whatever. I mean, it's not that big of a deal to try and check that out. MR. LYON: Your Honor, I can't argue with hindsight, but I must admit that it's never occurred to me to check this. asked my client about it. He told me he was found not guilty of the violation. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I'm not

saying he's lying. I didn't say that either. I mean, I'm not making any ruling here about I'm just saying that your argument is it. that this is only half the story with this document. Lie any other rule of evidence, if

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the government puts in a half story, you're entitled to put in the rest of the story so that it's a full story. You're entitled to do But there's nothing based on what you've argued thus far -- and the government does have the burden of proof, which limits them from putting this in. Now, in light of the fact that it is half the story and in light of the fact that your client is going to testify about it, you know, it could be considered in findings and, you know, all, some, or nothing.

But I'm just putting a red flag out here. I'm saying if your client is lying to you and you're putting him on the stand and you should have checked on something to find out if he's lying to you, not for the purpose of testing your client's credibility but for the purpose of he might be mistaken. He might think that he got a clear bill of health from the court, but it might not quite be that way. So I'm going to receive this into evidence at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 this point for all purposes. On the other 2 hand, if the government is holding back 3 evidence, they've got a problem, too. If they 4 know more about this than they're telling me 5 then they've got a problem if it comes out. 6 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I can 7. assure you that this is the file that we were 8 given --9 JUDGE SIPPEL: I believe you. I 10 believe you. 1.1 MR. LYON: I believe them, 12 well, your Honor. 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: But it's a half 14 file, you know. And I think that everybody is 15 telling the truth about it, but I don't know. 16 And to have a witness come in and, in effect, 17 destroy the use of this document based on his 18 recollection of a hearing and having no record 19 of even, you know, a one-page order from some 20 judge out there in Benton County addressing it 21 is troublesome to me because he might not

understand what happened in that hearing, if

| 1  | there was such a thing.                        |
|----|--|
| 2  | So I'm being very honest with you,             |
| 3  | I don't know who's winning or losing on this   |
| 4  | particular ruling, but this document is coming |
| 5  | in for all purposes. But it obviously has      |
| 6  | limitations, so what weight I would give it is |
| 7  | up in the air.                                 |
| 8  | MR. LYON: Thank you, your Honor.               |
| 9  | JUDGE SIPPEL: You bet. Moving on               |
| 10 | to Exhibit Number 5.                           |
| 11 | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exhibit                   |
| 12 | Number 5, your Honor, is a one-page document.  |
| 13 | It was referred to in the Order to Show Cause  |
| 14 | in this matter. It was referenced in a         |
| 15 | footnote. It's the same document as appeared   |
| 16 | on page 41 in the prior exhibit. It's          |
| 17 | separated out for the convenience of           |
| 18 | discussing it with witnesses.                  |
| 19 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to              |
| 20 | document was marked as Government              |
| 21 | Exhibit No. 5 for identification.)             |
| 22 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, so it's a                    |

| 1  | repetítious                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes.                     |
| 3  | JUDGE SIPPEL: document but for                |
| 4  | convenience. It's one page, so, for           |
| 5  | convenience, you want it in as a separate     |
| 6  | numbered document?                            |
| 7  | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, your                |
| 8  | Honor.  |
| 9  | JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objection to                |
| 10 | that?   |
| 11 | MR. LYON: As long as it's limited             |
| 12 | in the same manner as the document in Exhibit |
| 13 | 4.  |
| 14 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it will be.               |
| 15 | I'm sure you'll remind me if so Exhibit       |
| 16 | 5 is in. It's identified and received as      |
| 17 | Exhibit 5.                                    |
| 18 | (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.            |
| 19 | 5 was received into evidence.)                |
| 20 | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exhibit                  |
| 21 | Number 6 is the written direct testimony of   |
| 22 | John Schurman. It's a four-page document.     |

| 1                                | I'd like to move it into evidence.   |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 2                                | (Whereupon, the above-referred to  |
| 3                                | document was marked as Government  |
| 4                                | Exhibit No. 6 for identification.)   |
| 5                                | JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objection?   |
| 6                                | MR. LYON: If you could give me a   |
| 7                                | minute, your Honor. I'm torn, your Honor,  |
| 8                                | just because there's a lot of what I think is  |
| 9                                | inappropriate opinion in here. But given that  |
| 10                               | the witness will be presented for cross  |
| 11                               | examination, I have no objection.  |
| 1                                |  |
| 12                               | JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So  |
| 12<br>13                         | JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So there's basically no objection. It's received  |
|                                  |  |
| 13                               | there's basically no objection. It's received  |
| 13                               | there's basically no objection. It's received in evidence as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit  |
| 13<br>14<br>15                   | there's basically no objection. It's received in evidence as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit Number 6.  |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16             | there's basically no objection. It's received in evidence as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit Number 6.  (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.  |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17       | there's basically no objection. It's received in evidence as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit Number 6.  (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No. 6 was received into evidence.)   |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17       | there's basically no objection. It's received in evidence as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit Number 6.  (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No. 6 was received into evidence.)  MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exhibit   |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18 | there's basically no objection. It's received in evidence as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit Number 6.  (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No. 6 was received into evidence.)  MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exhibit Number 7, your Honor, is a one-page document. |

| 1  | (Whereupon, the above-referred to             |
|----|---|
| 2  | document was marked as Government             |
| 3  | Exhibit No. 7 for identification.)            |
| 4  | MR. LYON: Your Honor, I have no               |
| 5  | objection to its receipt for the purpose that |
| 6  | this is the warning he gave. I do object to   |
| 7  | the document to the extent that it would be   |
| 8  | admitted for the truth of the matter as       |
| 9  | asserted.                                     |
| 10 | JUDGE SIPPEL: I will receive it               |
| 11 | under that restriction.                       |
| 12 | (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.            |
| 13 | 7 was received into evidence.)                |
| 14 | MR. LYON: Thank you, your Honor.              |
| 15 | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exhibit                  |
| 16 | Number 8, your Honor, is a two-page letter    |
| 17 | written by the treasurer of Mr. Schurman's    |
| 18 | club dated                                    |
| 19 | JUDGE SIPPEL: This is Exhibit 8               |
| 20 | now?  |
| 21 | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes. Mr.                 |
| 22 | David Condon, the senator. It's the complaint |

that gave rise to the HDO. I'd like to move 2 it into evidence. 3 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 4 document was marked as Government 5 Exhibit No. 8 for identification.) 6 MR. LYON: Your Honor, I have to 7 object to this. It's hearsay. It lacks 8 authentication. The witness who wrote it has 9 not been tendered to authenticate it or to 10 sponsor it. While it may be interesting that 11 we're here because a letter was sent 12 Senator Cantwell, the fact is that it's not 13 relevant or material to your determination. 14 And certainly, given that the letter contains 15 hearsay allegations that are best adjudicated 16 based upon the official records, I object to this document. 17 18 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor, 19 we'd like it not for the truth of the matter 20 asserted but to corroborate Mr. Schurman's 21 testimony that the club was upset about Mr. 22 Titus operating in the Seattle area.

1 MR. LYON: Your Honor, I don't 2 think that is an appropriate document to authenticate it. I will stipulate that Mr. 3 4 Schurman -- I'm sorry, what is his name again? 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: This David Condon? 6 MR. LYON: I'll stipulate that the 7 club has a concern. But, otherwise, I don't 8 see it as relevant or material to this case. 9 And, certainly, it's improper given that Mr. 10 Condon isn't testifying. 11 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, if I 12 might interject, it was the complaint letter 13 that started this whole investigation by the 14 Commission. And at least for that purpose, it 15 should be admitted as being what notice the 16 Commission received of Mr. Titus. 17 MR. LYON: Your Honor, I don't 18 understand why that's relevant at all. 19 Honor, it's almost an indictment starts the 20 criminal trial, but an indictment is never 21 admitted into evidence because it's

prejudicial and there's no way to

| 1  | examine one. And this doesn't even rise to     |
|----|--|
| 2  | the level of an official document. It's a      |
| 3  | letter someone wrote to their senator          |
| 4  | complaining about Mr. Titus.                   |
| 5  | MS. LANCASTER: Well, it's an                   |
| 6  | official FCC document, a copy of which was     |
| 7  | received by the FCC and it made the FCC        |
| 8  | instigate an investigation of Mr. Titus.       |
| 9  | MR. LYON: Well, I guess                        |
| 10 | MS. LANCASTER: It's just part of               |
| 11 | the record, and we would ask that it just be   |
| 12 | admitted as such.                              |
| 13 | MR. LYON: I get that. From that                |
| 14 | logic, your Honor, and excuse the analogy, but |
| 15 | if I sent a roll of toilet paper to the FCC it |
| 16 | would become an official document. It's just   |
| 17 | not a reason why it should be admitted.        |
| 18 | MS. LANCASTER: The FCC would not               |
| 19 | act if it just received a roll of toilet       |
| 20 | paper, your Honor.                             |
| 21 | JUDGE SIPPEL: They'd probably                  |
| 22 | send it back to you. All right. Let me         |

| approach it this way. While you're talking     |
|--|
| I'm reading, and the only thing that gives me  |
| concern here from the standpoint of prejudice  |
| would seem to be the paragraph at the bottor   |
| of page one, "Normally, amateur radio provides |
| a very safe place for children," etcetera,     |
| etcetera. I'm inclined to receive the          |
| document but strike that because, by doing     |
| that, the document meets all the arguments     |
| that Ms. Lancaster made about getting, you     |
| know certainly, there is relevance to a        |
| document which starts the story, so to speak.  |
| Background. And everything else in there it    |
| seems to me is just, I mean it really doesn't  |
| come to the, it doesn't provide substantiative |
| evidence. But that one paragraph here does.    |
| MR. LYON: Your Honor, if we can                |
| admit it only for the nurnose that a complaint |

MR. LYON: Your Honor, if we can admit it only for the purpose that a complaint was made and not for the truth of the matter as asserted, then it obviates most of my objection.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. We'll

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

. 7

1.2

1.9

do that. It's received to prove a complaint was made with the Commission. Well, not actually with the Commission, but a complaint was made about Mr. Titus.

(Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.

(Whereupon, Government Exhibit No. 8 was received into evidence.)

MR. LYON: Your Honor, can I inquire of Bureau counsel whether the Commission received any correspondence from Senator Cantwell in this matter?

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well. that's discovery item. We're not going to do that now. I mean, you had time to discover this or seek to discover it. I don't want to get into that now. It's just a waste of time. But I'm not going to make any findings on this document, other than the fact that, you know, on that particular day, a complaint was made to the Senator about Mr. Titus and asking that she do something with the FCC about That's all it shows. I mean, you may come up with some other aspect of this in connection

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1  | with those findings, and I'll consider that.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | But, in general, this is how I'm looking at    |
| 3  | it.  |
| 4  | Okay. So that's my ruling. It's                |
| 5  | received in evidence to prove that a complaint |
| 6  | was made to the Senator about Mr. Titus.       |
| 7  | Exhibit 9?                                     |
| 8  | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exhibit 9,                |
| 9  | your Honor, is a one-page document. It's the   |
| 10 | testimony, written testimony of Chris Imlay,   |
| 11 | who is counsel for the American Radio Relay    |
| 12 | League, or ARRL. We move it into evidence,     |
| 13 | your Honor.                                    |
| 14 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to              |
| 15 | document was marked as Government              |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 9 for identification.)             |
| 17 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objection?                   |
| 18 | MR. LYON: No objection to the                  |
| 19 | exhibit.                                       |
| 20 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. It's                       |
| 21 | identified and received as Exhibit 9 for the   |
| 22 | Bureau.  |

| 1  | (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.             |
|----|--|
| 2  | 9 was received into evidence.)                 |
| 3  | JUDGE SIPPEL: Next exhibit, ten?               |
| 4  | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Exhibit 10                |
| 5  | is a 24-page document, your Honor. It has to   |
| 6  | do with there was an issue in a pre-           |
| 7  | conference, your Honor, the statement in the   |
| 8  | HDO that amateur is particularly attractive to |
| 9  | children. Both you and Mr. Titus' former       |
| 10 | counsel questioned that, and this is offered   |
| 11 | as notice that amateur radio is attractive to  |
| 12 | children.                                      |
| 13 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to              |
| 14 | document was marked as Government              |
| 15 | Exhibit No. 10 for                             |
| 16 | identification.)                               |
| 17 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Any objections?                  |
| 18 | MR. LYON: Your Honor, I hate to                |
| 19 | be constrained by actions of former counsel.   |
| 20 | I will stipulate that there are children       |
| 21 | involved in amateur radio, just like there are |
| 22 | children involved in just about every other    |

human endeavor. I don't know whether Exhibits 10 and 11 and 12 and 13 are necessarily appropriate. There's no way to cross examine on those exhibits. They're hearsay. Even if Mr. Imlay were called, he couldn't verify the truth in the matters asserted within those exhibits. I think that my stipulation that there are children involved in amateur radio would suffice. And, certainly, Mr. Titus can testify to that because he was 15 when he first got his license.

But I think we're burdening the record with ultimately what's going to be, it looks like several hundred pages of documents.

And I doubt you really want to make findings, detailed findings on those documents.

MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I agree, your Honor, that detailed findings will not be necessary as to these documents. I think we can agree that the remainder of the Commission's exhibits, 10, 11, 12, and 13, all fall into the same category, except for the

| 1  | testimony of Sarah Walker from the Boy Scouts  |
|----|--|
| 2  | who sponsors 13. Chris Imlay, counsel for the  |
| 3  | League, sponsors 10 and 11. And all of it,     |
| 4  | your Honor, doesn't come in for the purpose    |
| 5  | that there was, in particular, a gathering on, |
| 6  | you know, a particular day of 40 kids.         |
| 7  | Cumulatively, you know, the                    |
| 8  | reliability without the ability to cross       |
| 9  | examine, we're not saying that 40 kids got     |
| 10 | together on such-and-such a day or that        |
| 11 | necessarily in 1953 this many Boy Scouts got   |
| 12 | radio merit badges. In some, all of the        |
| 13 | exhibits go to the fact that it's attractive   |
| 14 | to children. And because of the                |
| 15 | JUDGE SIPPEL: He's willing to                  |
| 16 | concede that.                                  |
| 17 | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: He's willing              |
| 18 | to concede that they're involved in radio, not |
| 19 | that it's attractive to children.              |
| 20 | JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. You                   |
| 21 | tell me exactly what you mean.                 |
| 22 | MR. LYON: My stipulation is that,              |

| 1  | my offer of stipulation is that minors are     |
|----|--|
| 2  | involved in amateur radio. I think if it       |
| 3  | wasn't attractive to them they wouldn't be     |
| 4  | involved. So, okay, we want to say that        |
| 5  | minors, as well as adults, are attracted to    |
| 6  | amateur radio, I have no problem. I don't      |
| 7  | know that it's particularly more attractive to |
| 8  | children than adults, and, certainly, these    |
| 9  | documents don't show that one way or another.  |
| 10 | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We're not                 |
| 11 | arguing it's more attractive to children than  |
| 12 | adults, your Honor. However, based on the      |
| 13 | arguments of Mr. Titus' former counsel, we     |
| 14 | went to a lot of effort to get these           |
| 15 | documents, and we think for the limited        |
| 16 | purpose for which they're offered they're the  |
| 17 | best evidence that it's attractive to          |
| 18 | children.                                      |
| 19 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, just based on              |
| 20 | what we've discussed here today, I can pretty  |
| 21 | much find that it's attractive to children.    |

MS. LANCASTER: Well, your Honor,

1 these documents also show different types of 2 activities that children participate in in ham 3 radio, and that has some probative value in 4 and of itself. Other than just a general 5 they're attractive to children, it shows the 6 types of things that they do. 7 MR. LYON: But, your Honor, then I 8 can't cross it. I can't cross examine for 9 that purpose. 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm not even 11 going to get there yet. How does that help me 12 or the record? I don't need to know. I don't

going to get there yet. How does that help me or the record? I don't need to know. I don't have to be that refined. I mean, Exhibit 10 interests me, does it give any statistics in here? This is a traditional type of hearsay exception from basically a trade association, again, coming in for a limited purpose, as background primarily.

MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: With respect to the letter from Chris Imlay, Mr. Lyon decided not to cross examine him. So it's not, our discussion really has to do with the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

remainder of -- the front letter is just a cover letter relating to the other matters.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, it says here, "There has always been a special interest involving youth and amateur radio. perceived to be а healthy educational advocation that leads in many cases to career choices." That's apparently not a matter of argument. And then you take that he's willing to stipulate basically that it's attractive to youth. Still, I'll come to the conclusion as to either what percentage of the users or even a gross number and then how are you going to define, you know, young people, adolescents, or whatever you're talking about? But as a general proposition, I will accept the fact that, like any other technology, that a radio, a ham radio, if I can call it that, or amateur radio is going to be attractive to a certain element of the young community, people who have that aptitude.

MR. LYON: Your Honor, perhaps as

## NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

a compromise we could admit the letter from Mr. Imlay, which I think says everything that the Bureau wants to say, without burdening the record with these reprints of various publications or newsletters or whatever.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what I'm trying to do is I'm just trying to keep the record in a controlled context. And I think -

MR. LYON: Your Honor --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me finish what I'm saying. I think Exhibit 10 from Mr. Imlay, which is a very lawyer-like letter explaining, you know, trying to give an explanation as to what this whole amateur radio activity is about. He does represent an association. And, also, he's got two examples that he's attached to it. I don't think that, unless there's other evidence, unless Mr. Titus comes in and testifies what you find to be some outrageous statements and you want to use the materials in 11, 12, and 13 to cross

| 1  | examine him with to show his inconsistencies, |
|----|---|
| 2  | you know, that's fine. But I don't see why I  |
| 3  | need to go beyond Exhibit 10. Plus, you know, |
| 4  | Mr. Lyon's generous concession here about,    |
| 5  | basically, the point you're trying to prove.  |
| 6  | MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor,                    |
| 7  | somewhere in this record is a list from the   |
| 8  | Boy Scouts, and you mentioned something about |
| 9  | whether there was any cumulative evidence     |
| 10 | about the number of licenses or anything.     |
| 11 | There is some evidence in here. I'm not       |
| 12 | finding it quickly.                           |
| 13 | MR. LYON: It's at the end. If I               |
| 14 | may help, it's at the very end of 13.         |
| 15 | MS. LANCASTER: Okay. We're not                |
| 16 | to 13 yet. Okay. I'll hold that argument,     |
| 17 | your Honor.                                   |
| 18 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Let's                     |
| 19 | accomplish what we can. Bureau Exhibit 10,    |
| 20 | there is a hearsay objection. It's been       |
| 21 | tempered by Mr. Lyon. But in any event, I'm   |
| 22 | going to receive it under the hearsay         |

| 1  | exception for, you know, trade association   |
|----|--|
| 2  | reports and data, again for limited purposes |
| 3  | which are peripheral to the case, but it's   |
| 4  | relevant. It is relevant, and it's hearsay,  |
| 5  | and there's an exception for it. So Exhibit  |
| 6  | 10 is received into evidence. The reporter   |
| 7  | has the number of pages? There's a total of  |
| 8  | 24 pages. So it's identified today, and it's |
| 9  | received today.                              |
| 10 | (Whereupon, Government Exhibit No.           |
| 11 | 10 was received into evidence.)              |
| 12 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Now we're going                |
| 13 | into number 11. Tell me what the number of   |
| 14 | pages are. You've got them marked so         |
| 15 | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes. It's               |
| 16 | 216 pages, your Honor.                       |
| 17 | (Whereupon, the above-referred to            |
| 18 | document was marked as Government            |
| 19 | Exhibit No. 11 for                           |
| 20 | identification.)                             |
| 21 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, are you going             |
| 22 | to move that into evidence at this time?     |

| 1   | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, your               |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Honor.                                       |
| 3   | JUDGE SIPPEL: In its entirety?               |
| 4   | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes, your               |
| 5   | Honor.                                       |
| 6   | JUDGE SIPPEL: Objections?                    |
| 7   | MR. LYON: Cumulative and hearsay.            |
| 8   | JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. You want to              |
| 9   | respond to that?                             |
| 10  | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,             |
| 11  | this falls into the same category. It's more |
| 1.2 | of Christopher Imlay's records regarding the |
| 13  | involvement of youth in radio, and we think  |
| 14  | that's it's                                  |
| 15  | JUDGE SIPPEL: I can't hear you.              |
| 16  | MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: It's more of            |
| 17  | Christopher Imlay's records from the League  |
| 18  | regarding the involvement of youth in radio. |
| 19  | JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. The                 |
| 20  | objection is that it's hearsay, cumulative,  |
| 21  | and I find it also overly burdensome of the  |
| 22  | record. And I am going to deny it. I'm going |

| 1  | to reject it at this time, subject to your     |
|----|--|
| 2  | right to use it, excerpts of it, for cross     |
| 3  | examination, but they'll have to be identified |
| 4  | as a cross examination exhibit, you know, with |
| 5  | the appropriate copies going to the reporter   |
| 6  | and myself and, of course, to Mr. Lyon. Any    |
| 7  | question on that?                              |
| 8  | MS. LANCASTER: Yes, I have one                 |
| 9  | question. We can only use it for cross? Can    |
| LO | we use it on direct examination, also, your    |
| L1 | Honor?   |
| L2 | JUDGE SIPPEL: You mean excerpts?               |
| L3 | MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.                       |
| L4 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Redirect. I don't                |
| L5 | see why direct examination                     |
| L6 | MS. LANCASTER: Well, because we                |
| L7 | have witnesses who may have knowledge of some  |
| 18 | of these types of activities or these specific |
| L9 | activities even, and we'd like to be able to   |
| 20 | ask them about it.                             |
| 21 | JUDGE SIPPEL: If you ask them                  |
| 22 | about it and they can testify to it, why do we |

| 1  | need a document?                              |
|----|---|
| 2  | MS. LANCASTER: I don't know                   |
| 3  | JUDGE SIPPEL: You need it to                  |
| 4  | refresh their recollection?                   |
| 5  | MS. LANCASTER: Maybe. I just                  |
| 6  | want it open that we may use it if we need to |
| 7  | use it.                                       |
| 8  | MR. LYON: Your Honor, as far as I             |
| 9  | understand the rules of evidence, counsel can |
| 10 | use an umbrella to refresh the witness'       |
| 11 | recollection so                               |
| 12 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, we don't even              |
| 13 | have to receive it into evidence for you to   |
| 14 | put this in front of a witness who couldn't   |
| 15 | recall about a jamboree, and she says, "Oh,   |
| 16 | yes, now I remember the jamboree." I mean, we |
| 17 | don't have to go any further with it unless   |
| 18 | there's an objection or there's some other    |
| 19 | aspect to it.                                 |
| 20 | MS. LANCASTER: My comment was                 |
| 21 | only made, your Honor, because you could use  |
| 22 | it on cross only when I wanted                |